

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/478,254	01/06/2000	ROBERT ZUCCHERATO	0500.9906162	1450
75	90 04/07/2004		EXAMINER	
MARKISON &	& RECKAMP PC		SMITHERS,	MATTHEW
P O BOX 06229	· ·			
WACKER DRI	VE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	606060229		2137	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_/
· · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	14
	09/478,254	ZUCCHERATO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Matthew B Smithers	2137	!
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ally within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 J	lanuary 2004		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-6.9-11 and 13-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2.7.8 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the correct and the c	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in brity documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed January 9, 2004 has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,3-6, 9-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 6,564,323 granted to Takahashi et al.

Regarding claim 1, Takahashi meets the claims limitations as follows:

"A method for initializing operation of an information security operation for an entity, comprising the steps of:

storing at least one of: entity identification data and a function of entity identification data and storing associated shared authentication data;

Art Unit: 2137

١,٠

storing inquiry data to facilitate entry of shared authentication data for initialization;" see column 10, lines 18-57 and Figure 2.

"retrieving the stored inquiry data for presentation based on received entity identification data;

receiving shared authentication data in response to the presented inquiry data; comparing received shared authentication data with the stored shared authentication data; and

determining whether to initialize operation of the information security operation based on the comparison." see column 7, line 56 to column 9, line 3 and column 31, line 18 to column 37, line 16.

Regarding claim 3, Takahashi meets the claims limitations as follows:

The method of claim 1 including the steps of: storing data representing a function of the entity identification data and storing shared authentication type data; subsequently generating data representing a function of the received entity identification data;

obtaining the stored shared authentication type data based on the subsequently generated data representing a function of the received entity identification data; and selecting, under control of a processing unit, stored inquiry data for presentation to a user, based on the obtained stored shared authentication type data." see column 7, line 56 to column 9, line 3 and column 31, line 18 to column 37, line 16.

Regarding claim 4, Takahashi meets the claims limitations as follows:

Art Unit: 2137

"The method of claim 1 wherein the step of initializing the operation of the information security operation includes the use of a PAKE or other appropriate protocol." see column 9, lines 18-32.

Regarding claim 5, Takahashi meets the claims limitations as follows:

The method of claim 1 wherein the step of determining whether to initialize the operation of the information security operation includes repeating the steps of retrieving stored inquiry data for presentation based on received entity identification data; receiving shared authentication data in response to the presented inquiry data and combining with previously received authentication data; comparing received shared authentication data with the stored shared authentication data; and determining whether to initialize operation of the information security operation based on the comparison." see column 7, line 56 to column 9, line 3 and column 31, line 18 to column 37, line 16.

Regarding claim 6, Takahashi meets the claims limitations as follows: "A method for initializing operation of an information security operation for an entity, comprising the steps of:

storing, by a first processor, at least one of entity identification data and a function of entity identification data and storing associated shared authentication data;

storing, by a second processor, inquiry data to facilitate entry of shared authentication data for initialization;

retrieving, by the second processor, the stored inquiry data for presentation based on received entity identification data; receiving, by a third processor, shared authentication data in response to the presented inquiry data;

Art Unit: 2137

comparing received shared authentication data with the stored shared authentication data; and determining whether to initialize operation of the information security operation based on the comparison." see column 7, line 56 to column 9, line 3 and column 31, line 18 to column 37, line 16.

Regarding claim 9, Takahashi meets the claims limitations as follows: "The method of claim 6 wherein the step of initializing the operation of the information security operation includes the use of a PAKE or other appropriate protocol." see column 9, lines 18-32.

Regarding claim 10, Takahashi meets the claims limitations as follows:

"The method of claim 6 wherein the step of determining whether to initialize the operation of the information security operation includes repeating the steps of: retrieving stored inquiry data for presentation based on received entity identification data; receiving shared authentication data in response to the presented inquiry data and combining with previously received authentication data; comparing received shared authentication data with the stored shared authentication data; and determining whether to initialize operation of the information security operation based on the comparison." see column 7, line 56 to column 9, line 3 and column 31, line 18 to column 37, line 16. Claims 11, 13, 15 and 16 are system claims that are substantially equivalent to method claims 1, 3, 4 and 5. Therefore claims 11, 13, 15, and 16 are rejected by a similar rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2137

Claims 2, 7, 8, 12 and 14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claims 2, 7, 8 and 12, the cited prior art fails to specifically teach wherein the step of storing inquiry data includes storing at least one of: a plurality of forms containing questions wherein different forms are stored for different types of shared authentication data and are selectable through a user interface, and a plurality of questions indexed based on at least type of shared authentication data that are automatically selected for presentation based on received entity identification data.

With respect to claim 14, the cited prior art fails to specifically teach wherein the second processor includes a request generator and a question generator and wherein the entity includes a graphic user interface for presenting questions received from the second processor.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew B Smithers whose telephone number is (703) 308-9293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00-5:30) EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A Morse can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2137

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew B Smithers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2137

Page 7